

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,681	08/20/2003	Regis W. Haid JR.	PC821.00/4906-014	6452
24112	7590 08/17/2006	EXAM		IINER
COATS & BENNETT, PLLC			RAMANA, ANURADHA	
POBOX 5	C 27602		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RALEIGH, NC 27602			3733	·
			DATE MAILED: 08/17/200	DATE MAILED: 08/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/644,681	HAID ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Anu Ramana	3733			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence	address		
A SHO WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any rej	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FAMILING IN THE MAILING	NG DATE OF THIS CC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howe ion. period will apply and will expire s y statute, cause the application to	MMUNICATION. ver, may a reply be timely filed SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice un	This action is non-fina llowance except for for	mal matters, prosecution as to t	he merits is		
	on of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-48 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application	on Papers					
10)□ T	The specification is objected to by the Ex The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	☐ accepted or b)☐ obj to the drawing(s) be held correction is required if th	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) e drawing(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO No(s)/Mail Date	948)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (Other:	PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/644,681

Art Unit: 3733

÷

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

The Examiner is withdrawing the election/restriction requirement made on April 12, 2006 and is making the following election/restriction requirement due to the numerous instruments in the instant application. The Examiner apologizes for any inconvenience caused to the Applicants.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-26, drawn to a device classified in class 606, subclass 87.
- II. Claims 27-30 and 46-48, drawn to a method of inserting an implant, classified in class 606, subclass 86.
- III. Claims 31-45, drawn to a method of preparing first and second vertebral members, classified in class 606, subclass 90.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, invention I can be used to prepare an intervertebral space for partial removal of a damaged intervertebral disc and reinforcement with an augmentation material such as bone cement.

Inventions III and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, invention I can be utilized in a method not requiring removal of material from vertebral endplates.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,681

Art Unit: 3733

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination III has separate utility such as partial disectomy. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application also contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Figure	Species
5	1
6	2
7	3
10	4
11	5
13	6
16	7
18	8

It is noted that the above-listed species are directed to the docking ring and instruments that are used in combination with a docking ring to form a foundation device.

The species are independent or distinct because the species have different structural characteristics and modes of operation (MPEP 806.04).

Upon election of one of the groups of inventions (I, II and III), Applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the

Application/Control Number: 10/644,681

Art Unit: 3733

merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 31-34 are held to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Page 5

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anu Ramana whose telephone number is (571) 272-4718. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached at (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AR Armadha Lamara
August 14, 2006